

September 16, 2009

Dr. Peraiah Sudangunta Hindu Community and Cultural Center 1232 Arrowhead Avenue Livermore, CA 94568

Project:

Conditional Use Permit/Design Review 09-001

Location:

1232 Arrowhead Avenue

Dear Mr. Sudangunta:

The Planning Division has completed a preliminary review of the application referenced above. On the basis of this review, we have determined that the application is incomplete and the following information is required:

- 1. Please complete the attached Environmental Assessment form. This form was completed and submitted with the original application, but since the project has been substantially modified a new Assessment is required.
- Please provide necessary data to demonstrate compliance with the City's Water Efficient Landscape Ordinance. To demonstrate compliance several additional items are required including: the Maximum Applied Water Allowance (MAWA), Irrigation Schedules, Maintenance Schedules, Landscape Irrigation Audit Schedules, Grading Design Plan, and Soils Analysis. Additionally, it is not clear on Sheet L1.4 what the different hatching styles represent, please clarify. And lastly, because this project involves development on two separate parcels you will need to show how both parcels are meeting the requirements of the Ordinance individually (i.e., separate water allowance and usage calculations, separate landscape and irrigation plans, etc). Please provide existing conditions on the northern parcel.
- 3. Please provide quantities of all trees and shrubs in the plant data table.
- 4. Please demonstrate how all proposed rooftop equipment is fully screened by the height of the parapet.
- 5. Please provide the location of all above ground utilities on both the civil and landscape plans (ie. backflow prevention devices, meters, transformers etc). All such devices are required to be fully screened.

- 6. Please provide dimensions and a plan view of the trash enclosure. The City's Ordinance requires a trash enclosure to be a minimum of 10 feet by 18 feet as measured from inside curb-to- curb.
- 7. Please provide a readable photometric plan.
- 8. Please provide the number of proposed bicycle parking stalls.
- 9. The Engineering Division has reviewed the resubmittal and is requiring additional information. Please address their comments in the attached memo dated September 10, 2009.
- 10. The Traffic Study was reviewed by our Traffic Division and deemed incomplete. Please address their comments in the attached memo dated September 9, 2009.

In addition, the following items, while not issues of completeness, still require attention prior to scheduling the application for hearing:

- 1. The proposed parking is being analyzed. Staff is reviewing the proposed quantity of parking stalls against the activities and building square footage. Additionally, we are researching what parking requirements other jurisdictions require for similar institutions.
- 2. Replace non-native oak species (Quercus robur and Quercus virginiana) with two California native oak species, Quercus lobata and Quercus wislizenii.
- 3. Please provide a dimension of the proposed light standard. The maximum height permitted is 18 feet, as measured from grade.
- 4. Additional information is required to verify eligibility for several of the proposed Green Building points. Please provide additional information or indicate where on the plans the information is provided for all proposed points. Additionally, two different Green Building checklists were provided please clarify which one is correct.
- 5. Please clearly denote what are the existing and proposed improvements on the Heather Lane property.
- 6. The parking study analyzed an Assembly Hall of 16,425 square feet while the plans show a 23,005 square foot Hall. Please have the traffic study revised to reflect the correct square footage.

Dr. Peraiah Sudangunta September 16, 2009 Page 3 of 3

- 7. Parking stalls are required to be a minimum of 91/2 feet in width. Please mark the parking stall dimensions on the plans.
- 8. The project architecture is being reviewed for consistency with the City's Design Standards and Guidelines. Comments will be sent under separate cover by the end of next week (September 25).

Please submit the required information as soon as possible so that we may schedule your application for review. Your application will not be scheduled for consideration until the aforementioned information has been provided and the staff has confirmed in writing that your application is complete.

If you have any questions, do not hesitate to call me at (925) 960-4450. Please refer to the application number referenced above when asking any questions or seeking additional information.

Respectfully,

Christine Rodrigues, AICP

Associate Planner

Enclosure: 1. Engineering Division's Memo dated September 10, 2009

2. Traffic Study letter dated September 9, 2009

cc: Fred Osborn, Planning Manager Steve Riley, Principal Planner Bob Vinn, Assistant City Engineer Mahendra Patel, Assistant Civil Engineer Jeanne Chaffin, Administrative Technician